

EFFECIS OF ROOT AND FOLIAR TREATMENTS OF CARROT PLANTS WITH

LEAD AND CADMIUM ON THE GROWTH UPTAKE AND THE

DISTRIBUTION OF UPTAKE OF METALS IN

TREATED PLANTS

RADI SALIM", M.M.AL-SUBU", A.DOULEH",

L.CHENAVIER" AND J.HAGEMEYER"

- * Chemistry Department, An-Najah University, Nablus, P.O.B. 7,
 West Bank Via Israel
- ** Department of Ecology, Faculty of Biology ,Bielefeld
 University, D-4800 Bielefeld 1 , Germany

ABSTRACT

Toxicity of cadmium and lead on the growth of carrot plants has been studied. Cadmium has been found to be more toxic than lead especially on the shoots of carrot plants.

1739

Copyright © 1992 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Foliar treatment has been compared with root-treatment for the two elements on carrots and on their roots and shoots

Concentrations and total contents of lead and cadmium in whole plant in roots and in shoots have been determined for treated carrot plants and compared in root-treatment with foliar-treatment Explanations have been suggested whenever possible to illucidate the results obtained.

Percentages of the metals taken by plants from the whole amounts of metal added during treatment have been calculated and related to type of metal used concentration of metal in solutions used for treatment and the way of treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Toxic metals can be transferred and concentrated into plant tissues from soil, irrigation water, or from rain water deposition. These toxic metals may have damaging effects on the plant itself and may become a health hazard to man or animal.

Several studies have appeared in the literature investigating the effects of treatment with toxic metals on growth of plants and on the value and distribution of the uptake of metals in the various parts of plants. It has been found that no one rule can be generalized to describe the effects of toxic metals on plants. On the contrary it seems as if every metal and plant has its own system of interaction, which depends on several factors such as type of

soil properties of soil, growth conditions, and presence of other ions.

Lead and cadmium are among the most interesting metals for environmental studies including their effects on plant and their accumulation in plant tissues. Several studies have appeared in the literature studying the effect of these metals on plants; few of these studies were on carrots among other species of vegetables (1-3). The aim of this paper is to study the effect of treatment with cadmium and lead on the growth of carrots and on the uptake of metals in the shoots and roots of plants. Also we aim to compare between the toxicities of lead and cadmium on plant growth Comparison between root-treatment and foliar-treatment of plants has shown that foliar-treatment with metal ions is more effective on plant growth than root-treatment(4,5). We aim in this paper also to compare between these two types of treatment using lead and cadmium on carrot plants and on roots and shoots of the treated plants.

EXPERIMENTAL

The soil used in this study was provided by a local nursery and consisted of 1:2 of sand and brown soil, respectively. Three and half kilograms of mixed soil were taken in plastic pots. In each pot three seeds of carrots (Daucus carota L. variety sativa DC.) were germinated under green-house conditions.

1742 SALIM ET AL

When the first true leaves had appeared only one plant was left in each pot

Treatment with metal ions started after 2 weeks and was repeated once a week for 12 weeks till the complete growth of plants. Plants were irrigated with tap water (200 ml) once a week and with a nutrient solution once every two weeks during the whole period of growth. Three plants were treated with each concentration of metal ion studied here.

After complete growth, plants were taken, washed with distilled water and then each part of plant was taken separately. Plants were then dried in an oven at 90° c, ground and ashed at 550°c for 6 hours. The ash of each part was then put in a labled plastic bag for the chemical analysis.

A known weight of the ash sample was treated with 2 ml of conc. HNO₃ and digested under pressure at 170° c After that the total volume was made up to 15 ml and all samples were analysed for lead or cadmium using a Perkin-Elmer 5100 Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometer equipped with HGA-7700 Professional Computer. The calibration method used was the standard addition method (3 additions). A matrix modifier of palladium nitrate was applied for the analysis of cadmium. The mean of three determinations was taken for each concentration with a relative standard deviation of <10 %. The average of the concentations of the three treated plants was taken for each metal concentration used.

More details concerning soil characteristics, nutrient solution and the analytical programmes used can be found in another paper(5)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Ireatment with Cadmium and Lead on the Growth of Carrots

Toxicity of cadmium and lead on the growth of carrots was visible. Shoots of plants had noticeable and gradual stunted-growth, small leaves and chlorosis. These symptoms were more obvious in plants treated with cadmium than in plants treated with lead. Also, these symptoms were more obvious in foliar-treated plants than in root - treated plants. The reductions in dry weight of carrot plants as a result of their treatment with lead and cadmium are shown in Tables 1 and 2 for plants treated with lead and cadmium, respectively.

Results of Table 1 show that root-treatment with 0 6-18.8 ppm lead solutions (200 ml batches repeated for 12 times over the whole period of growth) resulted in 24 - 62 % reduction in weight of plant, 20 - 60% reduction in weight of shoots and 27-77% reduction in weight of roots. The higher the concentration of lead in solution used in treatment the higher was its effect on growth. The results of Table 1 show also that foliar-treatment with 0 6-18 8 ppm lead solutins (50 ml batches repeated for 12 times over the whole period of

Table (1

for treatment we (ppm) (contreatment (contreatment (contreatment (contract))		ROOTS	, C	1		
	dry	reduction	dry weight	reduction	Whole	plan
<u> </u>	(g)	weight(%)	(g)	weight(%)	្សា (៤)	ın weight(%)
``. #-i	Omlx12	200mlx12times)				***************************************
	241	0.0	0.996	0.0	750 0	c
0	906	26.9	0.799	19.8	1 705	0.00
~.	0.821	33.8	0.619	37.8	1 440	י אלי מי
~. O	838	32.5	0.801	10,0	1 620	2 0
0.0	675	44.8	0.614	2 4 6	1.000	7.07
	0.391	68.5	0.401	1 C	7000	4.24
	320	74.2	0.402	200	7007	Ω. [0]
c	980	2,47	•	0.40	٠	67.7
reatment (. 50mix1	2times)	0.260	43.8	0.846	62.2
	241		0	4	1	
	10) t	0.00	0.0	2.237	0.0
	0.40	n.,	0.951	Ծ.	2.098	6.3
0 0	886	20.4	0.800	19.7	1.788	20.00
3,	888	28.4	0.692	30.5	1580	4 7
	718	42.1	0.628	אַני	000	T . C . C
''0	0.777	37.4	400) C	•	ري د د د
, C	7.00	1		0.1	1.407	43.4
	2 6	7.0	0.501	49.7	1.138	49.1
0	170	0.00	0.209	79.0	0.833	A 2 5 1

growth) resulted in weight reduction of 6-63% of whole plant, 5-80% of shoots and 8-50% of roots. The effect on growth increased also with the increase of concentration of lead in solutions used for the treatment of plants.

Comparison of the two ways of treatment together indicated that foliar-treatment was more effective on the growth of carrots than root-treatment. The amount of lead added using one concentration in foliar-treatment was one fourth the amount added using the same concentration in root-treatment but resulted in almost the same reduction in weight of plant (c f Table 1) Root-treatment with lead affected the growth of roots more than shoots but the foliar-treatment affected the growth of shoots more than roots.

Results of Table 2 indicated that using cadmium for treatment of carrots resulted in a high effect on the plant growth (as indicated by the dry weight reduction) and this effect increased with the increase of cadmuim concentration in solutions used for either root-treatment or foliar-treatment. The weight reduction resulting from treatment with 0.4-10 9ppm cadmium solutions amounted to ~14-71% from the whole plant, ~18-79% from the shoots and ~11-64% from the roots of the root treated plants. The weight reduction in case of foliar-treatment using the same concentrations but one fourth the amounts of cadmium used in root-treatment amounted to ~6.0-73% from the whole plants, ~5-80% from the shoots and 7-68% from the roots of the foliar-treated plants. These results indicated a higher effect of foliar-treatment with

Table(2)

Effect of Treatment with Cadmium on the Growth of Carrot Plants

Trace of the carrier of the court of the cou			3 10 110	ile of Owell	OI Carr	ot Flants	
Cd conc. in		Roots	Sho	Shoots	Whol	Whole plant	
solutions used for treatment	dry	reduction	dry	reduction	dry	reduction	
(mdd)	(5)	weight(%)	(g)	weight(%)	าแล้ว (ฮ)	in weight(%)	
Root-Treatment	(200mix	200mix12times)					1
0.0	1.241	0.0	0.996	0.0	2.237	0.0	
4.0	1.110	10.6	0.820	17.7	1.930	13.7	
г.	1.030	17.0	0.799	19.8	1.779	20.5	
1.8	0.968	22.0	0.670	32.7	1.638	26.8	
9.6	0.716	42.3	0.660	33.7	1.376	38.5	
5.3	0.526	57.6	0.522	47.6	1.048	53.2	
7.3	0.511	58.8	0.302	69.7	0.813	63.7	
10.9	0.450	63.7	0.209	79.0	0.659	70 7	
Foliar-Treatmen	t (50ml	x 12 times)			L 	5	
0.0	1.241	0.0	0.996	0.0	2.237	0.0	
4.0	1.149	7.4	0.945	5.1	2.094	4	
1. 1	1.159	9.9	0.732	26.5	1.891	15.5	
1.8	0.972	21.7	0.620	37.8	1.592	28.8	
9.0	0.919	25.9	.0.538	46.0	1.457	34.9	
io o	0.577	53.5	0.487	51.1	1.064	52.4	
7.3	0.460	62.9	0.322	67.7	0.784	65.0	
10.9	0.403	67.5	0.201	79.8	604	73.0	

Table (3)
Concentrations Causing 50% Weight Reduction

	Lead	(ppm)	Cadmium(pp	m)
Part of plant	Root - treatment	Foliar- treatment	Root - treatment	Foliar - treatment
Roots	6.9	13.2	6.0	5.6
Shoots	9.5	9 " 2	5 3	4 5
Whole plar	nt 7,2	12.0	5.4	5.2

cadmium than the root-treatment of carrots on the growth of the whole plant as well as on the growth of both roots and shoots. The effect of both ways of treatment was higher on shoots than on roots.

In order to compare the toxicities of lead and cadmium on the growth of carrot plants and on their roots and shoots the concentrations causing 50% inhibition of growth were calculated from the linear parts of the figures relating the weight reduction versus the concentration of metal ion in solutions used for the treatment of plant. The results of these calculations are presented in Table 3 From the results of this table it was obvious that cadmium had higher toxicity on the growth of plants and on their roots and shoots than lead. This difference in toxicity was more obvious in the case of foliar-treatment than in the case of root-treatment of plants. This difference in toxicity was also more obvious on shoots than on roots of the treated plants. Table 3 showed also the higher effect of foliar-treatment over root

1748 SALIM ET AL.

treatment (comparing amounts of metal used in treatment rather than concentrations). The high effect of foliar-treatment was more obvious in the case of cadmium than in the case of lead.

Another interesting point was observed when comparing lead with cadmium toxicities on growth and this was the high effect of cadmium on shoots in both types of treatment while lead was more effective on the roots of the root-treated plants and on the shoots of the foliar-treated plants. This might be an indication of the high mobility of cadmium (6-8) and the high sensitivity of shoots towards cadmium. The root-barrier effect on lead (6,9-12) prevented it from affecting highly the shoots of the root-treated plants as cadmium did. However, when lead was added directly to shoots in the case of foliar-treatment a high weight reduction of shoots appeared.

Iotal Uptake of Lead and Cadmium by Treated Carrots

Lead and cadmium uptake of carrots and of their roots and shoots increased with the increase of metal concentration in sulutions used for root-treatment or for foliar-treatment of plants (see Tables 4 and 5).

The results of Table 4 indicated that most of the lead added by root-treatment concentrated in the roots of treated plants (average of 89.2%) while most of the lead added by foliar-treatment concentrated in leaves (average of 95.4%). This confirms the idea that roots act as a barrier against translocation of lead from soil to shoots in the case of

Lead Content of Carrot Plants Treated with Lead

Table (4)

Pb conc	Root	.s	Shoots	<u></u>	Whole pl	ant
in solution		 •			******	
used for	ha	%	ha	%	'na	%
treatment	7		,		•	
الماد						
Root-Treat	ment (200mlx1	.2times)				
0.0	0.071	589	0,049	41 . 1	0.120	100
0.6	2.431	917	0.220	83	2.652	100
1 9	2.814	890	0.348	11.0	3.162	100
3.1	4.158	94 8	0.227	53	4385	100
63	4.530	89 .1	0.555	10_9	5094	100
9.4	5.086	89.3	0.643	10 7	6.029	100
12.5	5.970	86 8	0.910	13.2	6880	100
18.8	6 063	83.6	1.194	166	7.257	100
Foliar-Tre	eatment (50mlx	12times)			
0 - 0	0.071	58.9	0 049	411	0120	100
0 6	0.273	57	4550	94.3	4.823	100
1 9	0.315	9.6	6.480	95.4	6795	100
3.1	0.442	3.2	13.210	96.8	13.652	100
63	0.525	2.4	21 201	976	21.725	100
9 4	0.612	6 . 1	9 431	939	10.043	100
12.5	0.910	61	14.630	94.1	15.540	100
18.8	0640	4.1	15070	95 . 9	15.719	100

Table (5)

Cadimum Content of Carrot Plants Treated with Cadmium

			_,			
Cd conc in s	olns Ro	ots	Shoo	ts_	Whole	plant
used in treat	ment µg	%	ha	*	ha	%
Root-Treatmen	<u>t</u> (200mlx12	times)				** *** *** *** *** ***
O O	0.022	42.4	0.030	576	00528	100
0.4	0.270	678	0.128	322	0 398	100
11	1140	814	0.261	18.6	1 401	100
1.8	4.700	766	1.440	23.5	6.140	100
3.6	0.378	21.5	1.377	78.5	1755	100
5.5	0908	6.4	13.190	93.,6	14.098	100
73	1630	222	5 702	778	7330	100
10.9	3.220	30.3	7.400	697	10.620	100
Foliar-Ireatme	<u>ent</u> (50 ml x	12 ti	imes)			
00	0.022	424	0.030	57.6	0.052	100
0.4	0.160	13.3	1040	867	1.200	100
1.1	0409	24.4	1270	75 , 6	1.679	100
1.8	0.683	23.7	2 170	76 . 1	2853	100
36	0520	7.9	6.060	92.1	6.580	100
5.5	2050	17.3	9803	82 7	11.850	100
7,3	4.280	27.3	11.420	72.7	15.702	100
10.9	3 401	10.6	14.900	81 4	18.301	100

root-treatment or from shoots into soil in the case of foliar-treatment.

Results of Table 5 indicated that cadmuim was concentrated more in the shoots than in the roots of plants treated with either root-treatment (with the exception of the very low concentrations, <2ppm, of cadmuim) or foliar-treatment (all concentrations). However, the percentage of cadmuim in shoots, in both cases, was not as high as in the case of lead. This is again consistent with the higher mobility of cadmium in plant than lead In all cases, only a very small amount (< 1.5%) of the lead or cadmium added during treatment of plants was taken by plant (c.f. lable 6). Values of Table 6 showed also that the percentage of uptake from the total amount of metal added decreased with the increase of lead or cadmium concentration in solutions used for treatment of plant. This percentage was also comparatively higher from lead than from cadmium and higher in case of foliar-treatment than in case of root-treatment by either lead or cadmium.

Concentration of Lead and Cadmium in Ireated Plants

Concentration of lead and cadmium (shown in Tables 7 and 8) increased in treated carrot plants and in their shoots and roots with the increase of lead and cadmium in solutions used for root-treatment or foliar-treatment of plants. The increase of lead concentration was more obvious in the roots in case of root-treatment (average concentration in roots ~9 times that in shoots) and in the shoots in case of foliar-treatment (average concentration in shoots ~ 35 times that in

Table (6)

Percentage of Metal Taken by Plant from the Total Amount

of Metal Ions Added During Ireatment of Plant

Concentration				Foliar-treatment	
for treatment (ppm)	Lead	Cadmium	Lead	Cadmium	
0.4		004		0.48	
0 6	018		1.31		
1.1		0.05	***	025	
18		0.14		026	
1 . 9	0.07		0.59		
3.1	0.06		0.73		
3 . 6		002		0.30	
5.5		0.11		0.36	
6.3	0.03		0.57		
7.3		004		0.35	
9.4	0.03		018		
10.9		0 04		028	
12 5	002		0.21		
18.8	0.02		0.14		

roots). The increase of cadmium concentration (c.f. Table 8) was more obvious in the shoots in both types of treatment (average concentration in shoots was ~5 times that in roots in case of root-treatment and ~9 times that in roots in case of foliar-treatment)...

Lead Concentration of Carrot Plants Treated with Lead

<u> Table (7)</u>

 -			
pb conc in solns used for treatment (ppm)	(ha\a)	(ha\a) 2pootz	Whole plant (us Pb/s dry plant)
Root-Ireatment (200m)	
00	0.057	0.049	0054
0 6	2683	0.275	1.555
1.9	3.434	0562	2.196
3.1	4.962	0.283	2.675
6 , 3	6.724	0904	3.952
9.4	13 008	1 310	6.994
12.5	18.656	2.264	9.,529
18.8	21 199	2132	8.578
Foliar-Treatment (50	ml x 12 time	:s)	
00		0.049	0054
0.6	0.237	4.784	2299
1.9	0.319	8.100	3.800
3.1	0.498	19.090	8.641
6.3	0.731	33.760	16.140
94	0788	19.247	7.927
12.5	. 1.429	39.182	13.656
18.8	1.045	72 .105	18.870

Table (8)

Cadmium Concentrat:	ion in Carro	t Plants Treat	ed with Cadmium
Cd conc. in solns.	Roots	Shoots	Whole plant
used for treatment	(ha\a)	(ha\a)	ug Cd/g dry
(ppm)			plant)
Root-Treatment (200m	n] x 12 time:	s)	
00	0.018	0.030	0024
0.4	0243	0156	0206
11	1.106	0327	0.787
1.8	4.855	2.149	3.748
3.6	0528	2086	1275
5.5	1.726	25 268	13.452
7 3	3189	18.881	9.016
10.9	7156	35 . 407	16.115
Foliar-Treatment (50	mlx12times)		
0.0	0.018	0.030	0.024
04	0.139	1.101	0.573
1 1	0.352	1735	0.887
1.8	0702	3 500	1792
36	0.565	11.264	4516
5.5	3.553	20.129	11.137
7.3	9.304	35.466	20.,028
10.9	8439	74 129	30300

CONCLUSIONS

Presence of lead and cadmium in irrigation water or in air (deposited later with rain water) was proved to be very harmful to the growth of carrots:

Root-treatment of carrot plants with lead solutions (0.6-18 18 ppm, repeated for 12 times over the whole period of growth) resulted in 24-62% weight reduction. Foliar-treatment was more harmful on the growth of carrots than root-treatment Ireatment with lead using the same concentrations used in root-treatment but with one fourth the total amount resulted in 6-63% weight reduction of treated plant. Effect of root-treatment with lead was higher on roots while foliar-treatment was more effective on the shoots of the treated plants.

Root-treatment with cadmium solutions (0.4-10.9 ppm , repeated for 12 times over the whole period of growth) resulted in 14-71% weight reduction of treated plants Foliar-treatment using the same concentrations but one fourth the amount of cadmium used in root-treatment resulted in 6-73% weight reduction of treated plants. This indicated a higher effect of foliar-treatment with cadmium than root-treatment. The effect of both types of treatment with cadmium was higher on shoots than on the roots of the treated plants.

The results of 50% weight inhibition indicated that cadmium was more toxic on the growth of carrots. This was more obvious with foliar-treatments. The results might be

1756 SALIM ET AL.

explained considering the higher mobility of cadmium than lead in plant and the more sensitivity of shoots than roots towards cadmium.

Concentration and total content of lead and cadmium in treated carrots as well as in their roots and shoots increased with the increase of concentration of metal ions in solutions used for either root-treatment or foliar-treatment of plant. Most of the lead ($\sim 90\%$) taken by plant in case of root-treatment was concentrated in roots while most of the lead added in case of foliar-treatment (~95%)was concentrated in the shoots of the treated plants Most of the cadmium taken by plants in both types of treatment was concentrated in the shoots of the treated plants with the exception of root-treatment using very low concentrations of cadmium (<2ppm) where cadmium was more concentrated in the roots of the treated plants. However, the degree of polarity of cadmium concentration in plant in both types of treatment was less than the degree of polarity of concentration of lead . There were indications of higher mobility of cadmium than of lead.

Calculations revealed that only a very small part (< 1.5%) of the lead or cadmium added during treatment of plant was concentrated in the plant tissues. This part was higher from lead than from cadmium and higher in foliar treated plants than in root-treated plants and from low concentrations than from higher concentrations of lead or cadmium in solutions used for treatment of plant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Prof. Dr.S.W Breckle, University of Bielefeld for allowing them to use the facilities of his laboratory for the chemical analyses of plant tissues using the graphite furance atomic absorption spectrometer.

REFERENCES

- 1 M.K. John; Cadmium uptake by eight foodcrops as influenced by various soil levels of cadmium. Environ. Pollut. 4, 7-15 (1973).
- 2 M.K. John and C.J. VanLaer-hoven; Lead distribution in plants grown on a contaminated soil. Environ. Lett. 3, 111-116 (1972).
- 3 M.A Turner; Effect of cadmium treatment on cadmium and zinc uptake by selected vegetable species. J. Environ. Qual. 2, 118-120 (1973)
- 4 R. Salim, M. Haddad and I. El-Khatib; Effect of nickel treatment on the growth of egg-plant, J. Environ. Sci. Health A23, 369-379 (1988).
- 5 R. Salim, M. Al-Subu, A. Douleh and S. Khalaf; Effects on growth and uptake of broad beans by root and foliar treatments of plant with lead and cadmium. J. Environ. Sci. Health, part A, in course of publication.
- 6 G.K. Bjerre and H. Schierup; Uptake of six heavy metals by oat as influenced by soil type and additions of cadmium, lead, zinc and copper Plant and soil 88, 57-69 (1985).
- 7 D.H. Khan and B. Franklank; Effects of cadmium and lead on radish plants with particular reference to movement of metals through soil profile and plant. Plant and soil 70, 335 345 (1983).
- 8 A.L. Page, F.T. Bingham and C. Nelson; Cadmium absorption and growth of various plant species as influenced by solution cadmium concentration. J. Environ. Qual. 1, 288-291 (1972).
- 9 R.T. Hardiman, B. Jacoby and A. Banin; Factors affecting the distribution of cadmium, copper and lead and their effect upon yield and zinc content in bush beans. Plant and soil 81, 17-27 (1984).
- 10- S.C. Javris, I...H.P. Jones and C.R. Clement; Uptake and transport of lead by perennial ryegrass from flowing solution culture with a controlled concentration of lead. Plant and soil 46, 371-377 (1977).

11) M.K. John; Varietal response to lead by lettuce; Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 8, 133-144 (1977)...

12) I.H.P. Jones and C.R. Clement; Lead uptake by plants and its significance for animals. In lead in the environment. Ed. P. Hepple Applied Science Publishers, Barking, Essex (1972).

Date Received: 03/23/92
Date Accepted: 04/27/92